

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

BARDEHLE PAGENBERG  
DOST ALTENBURG GEISSLER  
Galileiplatz 1 • 81679 München

04. März 2004

Frist

Bearb.

1

PCT

From the  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

HESS, Peter K.  
BARDEHLE, PAGENBERG, DOST,  
ALTENBURG & GEISSLER  
Galileiplatz 1  
D-81679 München  
ALLEMAGNE

## NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

03.03.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

F37367PC tge

### IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/05248

International filing date (day/month/year)

19.05.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

17.05.2002

Applicant

FICO CABLES S.A. et al.

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international  
preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office  
D-80298 Munich  
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d  
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Reiff, U

Tel. +49 89 2399-8070



# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT



### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference F37367PC tge		<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/EP 03/05248	International filing date (day/month/year) 19.05.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.05.2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60R21/09			
Applicant FICO CABLES S.A. et al.			

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.
  - ☒ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 2 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:
  - I ☒ Basis of the opinion
  - II ☐ Priority
  - III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
  - IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
  - V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
  - VI ☐ Certain documents cited
  - VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
  - VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand  17.12.2003	Date of completion of this report  03.03.2004
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:   European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer  de Acha González, J.  Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7396 

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/EP 03/05248**

**I. Basis of the report**

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17))*):

**Description, Pages**

1-11 as originally filed

**Claims, Numbers**

1-7 received on 17.12.2003 with letter of 17.12.2003

**Drawings, Sheets**

1/2-2/2 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  
☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  
☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  
☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:  
☐ the claims, Nos.:  
☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/EP 03/05248**

---

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

*(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)*

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-7
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-7
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-7
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

**see separate sheet**

**To Section V**

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 996 440 (ISONO HIROSHI ET AL) 7 December 1999 (1999-12-07)

2. From D1 (cf. figures 1, 25 and 26), which represents the closest state of the art, discloses already the features of claim 1 but that the expandable slot comprises movable lateral walls along its longer sides projecting into said expandable slot which are moved out of said expandable slot by said pedal axis by exceeding a mechanical limit loading of said pedal axis so that said expandable slot receives said pedal axis.
3. Since the features of claim 1 are not known from D1 it appears that claim 1 meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
4. Starting from a pedal security system known from D1, the additional feature of claim 1 solves the objective problem of reducing the risk of injury of the driver and being cheaper from a manufacture and maintenance point of view.

None of the available prior art teaches that the aforementioned objective problem can be solved with the combination of features of claim 1. It appears that claim 1 meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

5. Claims 2 to 7 define further embodiments of the pedal security system according to claim 1. It appears therefore that also claims 2 to 7 meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
6. Since the pedal security system according to claims 1 to 7 can be made in vehicle industry, it appears that claims 1 to 7 meet the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

---

International application No. PCT/EP03/05248

**Certain defects in the international application (form or content)**

7. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
8. According to the requirements of Rule 11.13(I) reference signs not appearing in the description shall not appear in the drawings, and vice versa. This requirement is not met in view of the reference sign 1 on page 6 which does not appear in the drawings.
9. Page 2 contains statements explaining the disadvantages of applications from third parties. Such statements are not allowed according to Rule 9.1 iii) PCT and should therefore be deleted.